

COUNCIL AGENDA

MONDAY, 7TH NOVEMBER 2011



GLENORCHY CITY COUNCIL

* *The General Manager certifies that the reports contained in this Agenda have been written by qualified persons under Section 65 of the Local Government Act 1993.*

Hour: 6.00 p.m.

Present:

In attendance:

Leave of Absence:

Workshops held since last Council Meeting None.

TABLE OF CONTENTS:

1.	APOLOGIES.....	3
2.	CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES	3
3.	ANNOUNCEMENTS BY THE CHAIR	3
4.	PECUNIARY INTEREST NOTIFICATION	3
5.	RESPONSE TO PREVIOUS PUBLIC QUESTIONS TAKEN ON NOTICE.....	3
6.	PUBLIC QUESTION TIME (15 MINUTES).....	3
7.	PETITIONS/DEPUTATIONS.....	3

GOVERNANCE	4
8. COUNCIL ELECTION 2011 - DECLARATION OF OFFICE	5
9. APPOINTMENT OF ALDERMEN TO CODE OF CONDUCT PANEL	7
10. APPOINTMENT OF ALDERMEN TO GLENORCHY PLANNING AUTHORITY	9
11. APPOINTMENT OF ALDERMEN TO AUDIT AND RISK COMMITTEE.....	11
12. APPOINTMENT OF ALDERMEN TO GENERAL MANAGER'S PERFORMANCE REVIEW COMMITTEE.....	13
COMMUNITY	15
13. LICENSING LAND - 17 MOORINA CRESCENT, CHIGWELL	16
14. NOTICES OF MOTIONS – QUESTIONS ON NOTICE / WITHOUT NOTICE	19
14.1 NOTICE OF MOTION - ALDERMAN PETER RIDLER.....	19
14.2 NOTICE OF MOTION - ALDERMAN LUKE MARTIN.....	21
CLOSED TO MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC	25
15. APPLICATIONS FOR LEAVE OF ABSENCE.....	26
16. NOTICES OF MOTIONS – QUESTIONS ON NOTICE / WITHOUT NOTICE (CLOSED)	26

1. APOLOGIES

2. CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES

That the minutes of the Council Meeting held on 10th October 2011 be confirmed.

3. ANNOUNCEMENTS BY THE CHAIR

4. PECUNIARY INTEREST NOTIFICATION

5. RESPONSE TO PREVIOUS PUBLIC QUESTIONS TAKEN ON NOTICE

6. PUBLIC QUESTION TIME (15 MINUTES)

7. PETITIONS/DEPUTATIONS

GOVERNANCE

8. COUNCIL ELECTION 2011 - DECLARATION OF OFFICE

Author: General Manager (Peter Brooks)

Qualified Person: General Manager (Peter Brooks)

File Reference: Election 2011

Community Plan Reference:

This item discusses a corporate management/governance issue. Since the Community Plan is outwardly focussed, there is no applicable reference to this matter.

Strategic or Annual Plan Reference:

The strategic and annual plans are based upon the Community Plan which is outwardly focussed. Since this item discusses a management/governance issue there is no applicable reference to this matter.

Reporting Brief:

To acknowledge the declaration of office of the successful candidates in the 2011 Glenorchy City Council Election.

Proposal in Detail:

Section 321(3) of *The Local Government Act 1993* requires:

A council is to acknowledge the making of a declaration at its meeting and the general manager is to record that fact in the minutes of that meeting.

At the conclusion of the 2011 Local Government Elections the Returning Officer issued the Certificate of Election for Glenorchy City Council on 28th October 2011 and declared the candidates elected to the positions shown in the table below. The Declaration of Office that is required by the *Local Government Act* has been completed by all of the candidates elected to those positions.

Aldermen – elected for a period of 4 years

Haydyn NIELSEN

Jenny BRANCH

Richard K. LOWRIE

Christine LUCAS

Matt STEVENSON

Kristie JOHNSTON

Alderman – elected for a period of 2 years

Sharon CARNES

Mayor – elected for a period of 2 years

Stuart SLADE

Deputy Mayor – elected for a period of 2 years

Haydyn NIELSEN

Consultations:

None.

Human Resource / Financial and Risk Management Implications:

None.

Community Consultation and Public Relations Implications:

None.

Recommendation:

That Council acknowledge that all of the candidates elected to the positions below at the 2011 Local Government Elections have completed the Declaration of Office as required by the *Local Government Act 1993*.

Aldermen – elected for a period of 4 years

Haydyn NIELSEN

Jenny BRANCH

Richard K. LOWRIE

Christine LUCAS

Matt STEVENSON

Kristie JOHNSTON

Alderman – elected for a period of 2 years

Sharon CARNES

Mayor – elected for a period of 2 years

Stuart SLADE

Deputy Mayor – elected for a period of 2 years

Haydyn NIELSEN

Attachments/Annexures

- 1** Tasmanian Electoral Commission Certificate of Election

9. APPOINTMENT OF ALDERMEN TO CODE OF CONDUCT PANEL

Author: General Manager (Peter Brooks)

Qualified Person: General Manager (Peter Brooks)

File Reference: Aldermen's Code of Conduct

Community Plan Reference:

This item discusses a corporate management/governance issue. Since the Community Plan is outwardly focussed, there is no applicable reference to this matter.

Strategic or Annual Plan Reference:

The strategic and annual plans are based upon the Community Plan which is outwardly focussed. Since this item discusses a management/governance issue there is no applicable reference to this matter.

Reporting Brief:

To appoint Aldermen to Council's Code of Conduct Panel.

Proposal in Detail:

All councils are required to establish a Code of Conduct Panel to "hear and determine a complaint in respect of the alleged failure of a councillor to comply with the provision of the code of conduct". Paragraph 28G(4) of the *Local Government Act 2003* requires "at the first ordinary meeting after an ordinary election, a council is to nominate 3 councillors, other than the mayor" for the Code of Conduct Panel.

Aldermen wishing to be considered for Council's Code of Conduct panel were requested to submit their nominations by close of business Friday, 4th November 2011.

If more than three nominations are received a ballot will be required. In this event, ballot papers will be provided at the meeting as there is insufficient time to provide them prior to this.

It is now necessary for Council to consider the nominations received and to appointment 3 Aldermen to the Code of Conduct Panel, via ballot if necessary.

Consultations:

Aldermen (via memorandum)

Executive Leadership Team

Human Resource / Financial and Risk Management Implications:

There are no Human Resource or Financial implications anticipated.

Council is required by legislation to make appointments to the Code of Conduct Panel at this meeting.

Community Consultation and Public Relations Implications:

None.

Recommendation:

That Council appoint 3 Aldermen to the Code of Conduct panel, via ballot if necessary.

Attachments/Annexures

Nil.

10. APPOINTMENT OF ALDERMEN TO GLENORCHY PLANNING AUTHORITY

Author: General Manager (Peter Brooks)

Qualified Person: General Manager (Peter Brooks)

File Reference: Glenorchy Planning Authority

Community Plan Reference:

This item discusses a corporate management/governance issue. Since the Community Plan is outwardly focussed, there is no applicable reference to this matter.

Strategic or Annual Plan Reference:

The strategic and annual plans are based upon the Community Plan which is outwardly focussed. Since this item discusses a management/governance issue there is no applicable reference to this matter.

Reporting Brief:

To appoint Aldermen to the Glenorchy Planning Authority.

Proposal in Detail:

The next meeting of the Glenorchy Planning Authority (GPA) will take place on the 14th November 2011. Appointment of Aldermen prior to the scheduled meeting of the GPA is prudent to remove any avenue for appeal against a GPA decision that might be lodged on these grounds.

Aldermen wishing to be considered for appointment to the GPA were requested to submit their nominations by close of business Friday, 4th November 2011.

If more than five nominations are received a ballot may be required. In this event, ballot papers will be provided at the meeting as there is insufficient time to provide them prior to this.

It is now necessary for Council to consider the nominations received and to appointment 5 Aldermen and 3 proxies to the Glenorchy Planning Authority, via ballot if necessary. Note that by virtue of the position the Mayor is included as one of the 5 Aldermen appointed to the GPA.

Consultations:

Aldermen (via memorandum)
Executive Leadership Team

Human Resource / Financial and Risk Management Implications:

There are no Human Resource or Financial implications anticipated.

Appointments should be made to the GPA to reduce the risk of challenge of its decisions by developers or representors.

Community Consultation and Public Relations Implications:

None.

Recommendation:

That Council appoint the Mayor and 4 Aldermen to the Glenorchy Planning Authority and appoint 3 Aldermen as proxies to this Committee, via ballot if necessary.

Attachments/Annexures

Nil.

11. APPOINTMENT OF ALDERMEN TO AUDIT AND RISK COMMITTEE

Author: General Manager (Peter Brooks)

Qualified Person: General Manager (Peter Brooks)

ECM File Reference: Audit and Risk Committee

Community Plan Reference:

This item discusses a corporate management/governance issue. Since the Community Plan is outwardly focussed, there is no applicable reference to this matter.

Strategic or Annual Plan Reference:

The strategic and annual plans are based upon the Community Plan which is outwardly focussed. Since this item discusses a management/governance issue there is no applicable reference to this matter.

Reporting Brief:

To appoint Aldermen to the Audit and Risk Committee.

Proposal in Detail:

The next meeting of the Audit and Risk Committee will take place on 7th December 2011. Appointment of Aldermen prior to the scheduled meeting is required to enable documentation to be distributed to the Aldermen prior to the meeting.

Aldermen wishing to be considered for appointment to the Audit and Risk Committee were requested to submit their nominations by close of business on Friday, 4th November 2011.

If more than four nominations are received a ballot may be required. In this event, ballot papers will be provided at the meeting as there is insufficient time to provide them prior to this.

It is now necessary for Council to consider the nominations received and to appoint 3 Aldermen and 1 proxy to the Audit and Risk Committee, via ballot if necessary.

Consultations:

Aldermen (via memorandum)

Executive Leadership Team

Human Resource / Financial and Risk Management Implications:

None.

Community Consultation and Public Relations Implications:

None.

Recommendation:

That council appoint 3 Aldermen to the Audit and Risk Committee and appoint 1 Alderman as proxy to this Committee, via ballot if necessary.

Attachments/Annexures

Nil.

12. APPOINTMENT OF ALDERMEN TO GENERAL MANAGER'S PERFORMANCE REVIEW COMMITTEE

Author: General Manager (Peter Brooks)
Qualified Person: General Manager (Peter Brooks)
ECM File Reference: General Manager's Performance Review Committee

Community Plan Reference:

This item discusses a corporate management/governance issue. Since the Community Plan is outwardly focussed, there is no applicable reference to this matter.

Strategic or Annual Plan Reference:

The strategic and annual plans are based upon the Community Plan which is outwardly focussed. Since this item discusses a management/governance issue there is no applicable reference to this matter.

Reporting Brief:

To appoint Aldermen to the General Manager's Performance Review Committee.

Proposal in Detail:

The next meeting of the General Manager's Performance Review Committee will take place at the end of November 2011. Appointment of Aldermen prior to the scheduled meeting is required to enable documentation to be distributed to the Aldermen prior to the meeting.

Aldermen wishing to be considered for appointment to the General Manager's Performance Review Committee were requested to submit their nominations by close of business on Friday, 4th November 2011.

If more than three nominations are received a ballot may be required. In this event, ballot papers will be provided at the meeting as there is insufficient time to provide them prior to this.

It is now necessary for Council to consider the nominations received and to appoint 3 Aldermen to the General Manager's Performance Review Committee, via ballot if necessary.

Consultations:

Aldermen (via memorandum)
Executive Leadership Team

Human Resource / Financial and Risk Management Implications:

None.

Community Consultation and Public Relations Implications:

None.

Recommendation:

That Council appoint 3 Aldermen to the General Manager's Performance Review Committee, via ballot if necessary.

Attachments/Annexures

Nil.

COMMUNITY

13. LICENSING LAND - 17 MOORINA CRESCENT, CHIGWELL

Author: Property and Recreation Co-ordinator (Rod Marshall)

Qualified Person: General Manager (Peter Brooks)

ECM File Reference: 17 Moorina Crescent (Reserve)

Community Plan Reference:

1.2.6 Promote community awareness of and their involvement in, the land use planning process.

Strategic or Annual Plan Reference:

GCC.6.1.1 Implement and refine strategies and systems for infrastructure asset management that maximises the benefit to the current and future citizens of Glenorchy.

Reporting Brief:

To obtain Council in principle approval for the open space adjacent to the Abbeyfield Society Australia facility in Moorina Crescent, Chigwell to be licensed for uses connected to the operation of the residential accommodation.

Proposal in Detail:

At the Council meeting of 10th October 2011 the following resolution was passed:

“Council provide in principle approval for the open space located at 17 Moorina Crescent, Chigwell to be licensed subject to supporting documentation being provided by the Abbeyfield Society Australia”.

Supporting documentation has now been provided, Attachment 1 – Site Plan and Attachment 2 – Request to license land. Clarification on potential site uses and users has also been added to the original report.

Recent contact with executive officers of the Abbeyfield Society Australia representing the management of the Moorina Crescent, Chigwell facility revealed that to effectively operate a number of programs and activities from that site increased space is required.

The current Abbeyfield Society Australia facility was completed in 1993 and a lease of twenty one (21) years was approved commencing 28th June 1993.

The existing facility was constructed on Council land and currently occupies approximately 50% of the property (Attachment 1) located at the junction of Milpara and Moorina Crescents Chigwell. The site occupied by the structure is zoned residential and the balance of the Council property is zoned open space.

Stage 1 of the project is to extend the existing garden area from the Abbeyfield facility into the open space, Stage 2 will be development of the community garden and Stage 3 will be the provision of a men’s shed.

Stage 1 will be funded by the Abbeyfield Society Australia and Stage 2 and 3 will seek external grants to assist construction.

Discussions with the applicant revealed that a number of local residents have indicated their willingness to be participants in the community garden and with suitable marketing it is expected that all garden plots could be occupied.

The Council managed site contains a small playground, a path connecting Milpara and Moorina Crescents and landscaping consisting of vegetated and grassed areas.

The initial enquiry from the operators of the centre was to lease land adjacent to the northern leased boundary but discussions with Council staff have suggested the whole area be licensed to the organisation. This option will maintain community access to the site while allowing the Abbeyfield Society to extend their gardening operation into the open space area and to develop a community garden also accessible to the local residents. This action will satisfy the demand for increased space, the viability of the gardening program and the opportunity to extend the horticultural skills of the homes residents to the balance of the open space.

It is expected that Council staff will continue to maintain the balance grassed areas and playground until such time that the community garden area is developed. The initial gardening area required by the centre is an extension to the existing vegetable garden on the northern side of the houses. It has been indicated by the applicant this area will be fenced and maintained by the applicant.

While licences can be issued for the occupation without community comment it has been assessed that as our community has had access to the site for an extended period, it is proposed to process the enquiry similar to that required under Section 178 of the Local Government Act, 1993.

This action will require the proposal to be advertised and for the responses to be returned to Council for decision.

A recommendation has been provided for Council's consideration requesting the proposal be advertised to gauge community support.

Consultations:

Property & Legal Officer
Statutory Planner

Human Resource / Financial and Risk Management Implications:

By agreement with Council the land occupied by the built facility is leased to the Abbeyfield Society Australia for an annual fee of fifty (50) dollars. As this proposal will reduce maintenance costs of our reserve it is proposed that the minimum licence / lease cost be applied to the proposal.

Current Year	\$50
Next Year	\$150
Future Years	\$150

Community Consultation and Public Relations Implications:

It is proposed that by advertising Councils intent to licence the open space to the adjacent lessee that community comment will be forthcoming. It is proposed that Council will develop a community engagement strategy and that a report be returned to Council prior to approving any actions.

The public are not expected to indicate negative views on the proposal as the physical layout of the site will not change significantly.

Recommendation:

1. Council provide in principal approval for the open space located at 17 Moorina Crescent, Chigwell to be licensed.
2. The proposal to be advertised in accordance with the requirements of Section 178 of the Local Government Act, 1993.
3. A community engagement strategy be developed and activated in tandem with the external advertising.
4. A report detailing the submission be returned to Council for decision to license the property.

Attachments/Annexures

- [1](#) Site Plan
- [2](#) Request to license land
- [3](#) Community Engagement Strategy

14. NOTICES OF MOTIONS – QUESTIONS ON NOTICE / WITHOUT NOTICE

14.1 NOTICE OF MOTION - ALDERMAN PETER RIDLER

Author: General Manager (Peter Brooks)

Qualified Person: General Manager (Peter Brooks)

ECM File Reference: Notice of Motion

Community Plan Reference:

This item discusses a corporate management/governance issue. Since the Community Plan is outwardly focussed, there is no applicable reference to this matter.

Strategic or Annual Plan Reference:

The strategic and annual plans are based upon the Community Plan which is outwardly focussed. Since this item discusses a management/governance issue there is no applicable reference to this matter.

Reporting Brief:

To consider a notice of motion by Alderman Peter Ridler submitted in accordance with the requirements of Section 16 of the *Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2005*.

Proposal in Detail:

Motion:

That the Terms of Reference for the Glenorchy Planning Authority be amended:

- (a) Membership of the Committee not automatically include the Mayor; and
- (b) The Chairperson not automatically be the Mayor.

Rationale:

Reasons supporting this motion are:

1. The Mayor and the Council Senior Management are often in strategic discussions with major developers and retailers in relation to new business and economic development opportunities within the City of Glenorchy;
2. It could be seen unfavourably by sectors of the community that the Mayor has undue influence on a small delegated authority in relation to planning issues within the City of Glenorchy;

3. Provides all other Aldermen a greater opportunity of being on the Glenorchy Planning Authority; and
4. Creates possible conflict of interest issues for the Mayor's family businesses.

Qualified Advice

At the Council meeting on 5th February 2007 (Attachment), it was resolved:

"That the Land Use Planning Committee ("the Committee") comprise:

- (a) Five Aldermen with the Mayor of the day an automatic appointment (i.e. one of the five), and*
- (b) The Mayor of the day to act as Chairperson of the Committee.*
- (c) That after each election of the Land Use Planning Committee that the Committee will undertake training in the role of the planning authority."*

Consultations:

Human Resource / Financial and Risk Management Implications:

Community Consultation and Public Relations Implications:

Recommendation:

That the Terms of Reference for the Glenorchy Planning Authority be amended:

- (a) Membership of the Committee not automatically include the Mayor; and
- (b) The Chairperson not automatically be the Mayor.

Attachments/Annexures

- [1](#) Alderman Ridler - Notice of Motion
- [2](#) Attachment - Council Resolution 5th February 2007

14.2 NOTICE OF MOTION - ALDERMAN LUKE MARTIN

Author: General Manager (Peter Brooks)

Qualified Person: General Manager (Peter Brooks)

File Reference: Notice of Motion

Community Plan Reference:

This item discusses a corporate management/governance issue. Since the Community Plan is outwardly focussed, there is no applicable reference to this matter.

Strategic or Annual Plan Reference:

The strategic and annual plans are based upon the Community Plan which is outwardly focussed. Since this item discusses a management/governance issue there is no applicable reference to this matter.

Reporting Brief:

To consider a notice of motion by Alderman L. Martin submitted in accordance with the requirements of Section 16 of the *Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2005*.

Proposal in Detail:

Motion

That Glenorchy City Council meetings, workshops and Glenorchy Planning Authority meetings commence at 3.00 p.m.

Rationale:

Council considered a proposal for Council meetings to be held during business hours in August 2011. While that motion was defeated there was a strong sentiment among Alderman for this issue to again be considered by the new incoming Council following the October Local Government Elections.

With the General Manager undertaking a review of Council's structure specifically to look for efficiencies and opportunities to improve productivity across the organisation, it is also appropriate and timely for Aldermen to consider opportunities to enhance the workings of Council as a policy development and governance process.

Council has already made significant undertakings in this area, through the establishment of a Council Audit and Risk Committee, a new framework to review major council strategies and policies on an ongoing basis, and, at our July 2011 meeting, resolving to request that the Local Government Board undertake a review of the number of elected Aldermen appropriate for Glenorchy City Council in the future.

One further practical initiative that Council should consider that is relatively simple to implement and will have direct and immediate benefits to Aldermen and staff, is to hold Council meetings and workshops during business hours.

The clear advantages of meeting during business hours include:

- Direct cost savings to Council, with Council staff and ELT no longer having to be compensated for working into the night or a meal allowance.
- Improved productivity of ELT and Council staff in no longer having to work excessively long days on Council meeting and workshop days.
- Create a more corporate-like governance structure where all major activities and decision making processes of Council occur during business hours.
- Enhance staff/aldermen relations and organisational morale with more staff being able to attend and participate in Council meetings and workshops as part of their general workday activities.
- Improve Council productivity, particularly for Aldermen who currently attend meetings after working all day, as well as for Alderman with family, business and other responsibilities in the evening.
- Make Council meetings more accessible to Glenorchy residents who are unable or unwilling to attend Council meetings in the evening.
- Potentially generate greater media coverage and awareness of Council meetings and decisions, as media outlets are far more likely to attend and report on meetings held during the day.

The two main arguments against moving to day-time meetings seem to be that it will make it difficult/impossible for ratepayers who work to attend meetings, and that some current and future Alderman may have difficulty getting time off work to attend meetings during business hours.

In relation to the first issue; The reality is public attendance at Council meetings is now so low this issue is negligible, and in fact moving to day-time meetings is more likely to have a positive impact in potentially encouraging residents to attend meetings who are perhaps now put off attending meetings at night, especially in winter.

In relation to Aldermen's work requirements; Its short-sighted to think that every Alderman elected to Council now or in the future will only work business hours from 9-5, especially when such a large segment of the working population in Glenorchy, and therefore potential future candidates to Councils, work irregular hours, do shift-work or run small businesses that require them to work in the evenings. Some current and future Aldermen may also have family and other responsibilities in their lives that make evening meetings an inconvenience for them and their families. The reality is Aldermen are well remunerated and making the commitment to stand for Council in the first place should extend to being able to negotiate with employers to be able to attend Council meetings and workshops during business hours once a fortnight.

The proposal to meet in late afternoons from 3pm is intentional to have minimal impact on Aldermen's schedules.

Glenorchy would be the first Council in Southern Tasmania to move to meeting during business hours, however we will not be the first Council in Tasmania. Tasmania's largest Council, Launceston City Council, meets in the middle of the day at 1.00 p.m. and has done so for many years. It's worth pointing out that normal public attendance at Launceston City Council meetings is considered the highest of any Tasmanian council.

State Parliament resolved several years ago to meet during business hours and very few corporate boards these days meet during the evening.

I believe this will be a positive initiative by Council that will be very well received by Council staff and the broader community.

Qualified advice

In 2009 there was discussion at an Aldermen's workshop about the savings that could be achieved by holding Council meetings, Aldermen's workshops and Glenorchy Planning Authority meetings during normal working hours. None of the Aldermen present at that workshop opposed the proposal so it was pursued by preparing reports to Council and through community consultation via surveys conducted by Myriad Consulting.

The full report and attachments of the 9th November 2009 are attached. *The recommendation on 9th November 2009 was put and lost with Council's resolution being as follows:*

- (a) *Council resolve by absolute majority that the start time of Council meetings be 6.00 p.m.*
- (b) *Council recommends to the Glenorchy Planning Authority that it start its meetings at 6.00 p.m.*

(c) *Council workshops commence at 6.00 p.m.*

(d) *That meals for Aldermen not be provided.*

Although meals are no longer provided for Aldermen meal allowances must now be paid to Council staff attending after hours meetings. The allowance is currently worth \$22.25 to each staff member attending.

Savings would therefore be due to not paying staff overtime or them taking time off in lieu (estimated in the previous reports at around \$15,000 pa) plus meal allowances at between say \$5,000 - \$10,000 pa depending upon the number of staff attending. (These figures are estimated based upon GPA meetings also starting at 3.00 p.m.). A further report in relation to GPA meetings is attached for information.

As it is evident that the vast majority of members of the public do not seem to want to attend meetings simply to view proceedings, Council might consider an alternative method of engaging them by “streaming” meetings over the Internet. The establishment cost of the necessary equipment would depend upon the level of its sophistication but a simple “no frills” system could be achieved quite cheaply, certainly within the anticipated savings amount. Improvements to the system could then be introduced incrementally.

Aldermen should also note that the relevant Myriad survey resulted in the clear result that “there is a strong preference for GPA meetings to start at 3.00 p.m.”, which is not addressed by Alderman Martin’s motion.

Consultations:

Human Resource / Financial and Risk Management Implications:

Community Consultation and Public Relations Implications:

Recommendation:

That Glenorchy City Council meetings, workshops and Glenorchy Planning Authority meetings commence at 3.00 p.m.

Attachments/Annexures

- [1](#) Alderman Martin - Notice of Motion
- [2](#) Attachment - Council Report 9th November 2009
- [3](#) Change to GPA Meeting time

CLOSED TO MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC

15. APPLICATIONS FOR LEAVE OF ABSENCE

16. NOTICES OF MOTIONS – QUESTIONS ON NOTICE / WITHOUT NOTICE (CLOSED)
